STATE OF SOUTH CAROLIN	(A)	BEFOR	E THE
(Caption of Case)	,	PUBLIC SERVICE	E COMMISSION
Application of Frontier Communi	cations of America,)	OF SOUTH O	CAROLINA
Inc. for a Certificate of Public Co		COVED	CHEEN
Necessity to Provide Telecommun	`	COVER	SHEET
Including Basic Local Exchange S	Service)		
)	DOCKET	
	,	NUMBER: 2010 -	<u>399</u> <u>- C</u>
)		
)		
(Please type or print))		
Submitted by: Margaret M. For	x, Esquire	SC Bar Number: 65418	
Address: McNair Law Firm, P.	٨	Telephone: 803-799-	9800
Michail Law Pilli, 1.	A	Fax: 803-753-	3219
P. O. Box 11390		Other:	
Columbia, SC 29211		Email: pfox@mcnair.net	
NOTE: The cover sheet and information			
as required by law. This form is require be filled out completely.	d for use by the Public Service Co	ommission of South Carolina for the	purpose of docketing and must
	CIZETINIC INECDMA	TION (OL 1 Hall 4 1)	
יטע	CKETING INFORMA	TION (Check all that apply) equest for item to be placed on	Commission's Agenda
Emergency Relief demanded in		peditiously	Commission s Agenda
Other:			
INDUSTRY (Check one)	NATUR	E OF ACTION (Check all the	at apply)
Electric	Affidavit	Letter	Request
Electric/Gas	Agreement	Memorandum	Request for Certification
☐ Electric/Telecommunications	Answer	Motion	Request for Investigation
Electric/Water	Appellate Review	Objection	Resale Agreement
Electric/Water/Telecom.	Application	Petition	Resale Amendment
Electric/Water/Sewer	Brief	Petition for Reconsideration	Reservation Letter
Gas	Certificate	Petition for Rulemaking	Response
Railroad	Comments	Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery
Sewer	Complaint	Petition to Intervene	Return to Petition
▼ Telecommunications	Consent Order	Petition to Intervene Out of Time	Stipulation
☐ Transportation	Discovery	Prefiled Testimony	Subpoena
☐ Water	Exhibit	Promotion	☐ Tariff
☐ Water/Sewer	Expedited Consideration	Proposed Order	Other:
Administrative Matter	Interconnection Agreement	Protest	
Other:	Interconnection Amendment	Publisher's Affidavit	
	Late-Filed Exhibit	Report	
	_	—	
	Print Form	Reset Form	

BEFORE THE

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 2010-399-C

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BRENT D. GROOME

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Brent Groome. My business address is P. O. Box 1820, Conway, S.C. 29528.
3		
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by Horry Telephone Cooperative, Inc., as its Chief Executive, Customer
6		Operations.
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY OUTLINE YOUR EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND
9		EXPERIENCE IN THE TELEPHONE INDUSTRY.

I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Journalism with an emphasis in advertising/public relations from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. I have been employed at Horry Telephone Cooperative for over 20 years in various positions including marketing and development, government relations, and customer service. I have been in my current position as Chief Executive, Customer Operations for 15 years. Before joining Horry Telephone Cooperative, I was employed by GTE.

16

10

11

12

13

14

15

A.

Columbia: 1032979

Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?

A. I am testifying on behalf of the South Carolina Telephone Coalition ("SCTC"), a coalition of independent local exchange telephone companies ("LECs") organized and doing business under the laws of the State of South Carolina. Horry Telephone Cooperative is a member of the SCTC.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

1

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

The purpose of my testimony is to present the SCTC's concerns regarding the Amended Application of Frontier Communications of America, Inc. ("Frontier") for authority to provide local exchange telecommunications service throughout the State of South Carolina. The SCTC opposes Frontier's application as written. The SCTC believes that Frontier should be subject to the same terms and conditions that have been placed by the Commission on other competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") operating in the State of South Carolina, as evidenced by the Commission's approval of numerous standard Stipulations entered into between the SCTC and CLECs. See, e.g., Order Granting Application of Capital Communications Consultants, Inc., Order No. 2011-94 in Docket No. 2010-349-C, dated January 26, 2011 (approving SCTC Stipulation as part of Order granting CLEC certificate); see also Order Approving Application of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., Order No. 96-494 in Docket No. 96-073-C, at pp. 7-8 (Commission imposed similar conditions in the absence of a Stipulation between the parties). Generally, the SCTC is concerned that the provisions, policies, and consumer protections embodied in state law and in the Federal Telecommunications Act

of 1996 will be obscured, and perhaps circumvented, if new entrants are granted statewide certificates to provide local service without a case-by-case determination for each rural study area as to whether certification is in the public interest. The SCTC's standard Stipulation recognizes this, and it allows the certificate to be granted as requested, while putting off the important public interest determination regarding each individual rural study area until such time as the CLEC gives notice that it intends to serve that specific study area.

A.

Q. FRONTIER'S AMENDED APPLICATION STATES THAT FRONTIER WILL GIVE 30 DAYS' ADVANCE NOTICE PRIOR TO ENTERING CERTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE CARRIER MARKETS. IS THAT SUFFICIENT?

No, it is not. Frontier's Application states that it will give rural telephone companies 30 days' advance notice of Frontier's entry into their markets "except where any such carrier has its own competitive local exchange carrier operation (either through itself or an affiliate)." See Amended Application at paragraph 9. This position ignores the fact that Frontier serves much more populated areas than the rural telephone companies do, and that entry into the rural telephone company markets has significantly more potential to harm customers and, therefore, the public interest. This is why the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 included provisions specific to rural telephone companies and the areas and people they serve.

1 Q. DOES THE SCTC HAVE OTHER CONCERNS WITH FRONTIER'S

- 2 APPLICATION?
- 3 A. Yes. Frontier is asking for statewide certification as a CLEC, including the authority to
- 4 operate as a CLEC within the incumbent LEC ("ILEC") area served by its affiliate,
- 5 Frontier Communications of the Carolinas, Inc. ("Frontier ILEC").
- 6 Q. WHY IS THAT A CONCERN?
- 7 A. Frontier is a large company, operating as a CLEC alone in 24 states. See Direct
 8 Testimony of Stan Pace at p. 3. Frontier's parent company has over \$2 Billion in
- 9 revenues. *Id.* at p. 4. Permitting Frontier to operate as a CLEC in its own ILEC territory
- opens up the ability and the very real possibility for self-dealing in a competitive market.
- 11 Frontier ILEC would have the ability to act in a discriminatory manner, favoring its own
- affiliated CLEC over other CLECs operating in Frontier ILEC's service area, thwarting
- competition to the detriment of the consuming public. There also is a concern with the
- potential for the company to blur the line between its regulated and non-regulated
- 15 operations.

16

- 17 Q. DID FRONTIER'S PREDECESSOR IN THESE EXCHANGES, VERIZON
- 18 SOUTH, HOLD A CLEC CERTIFICATE?
- 19 A. Yes, it did. Verizon South, Inc. was issued a certificate to operate as a CLEC in South
- Carolina by Commission Order No. 2001-1045, dated November 9, 2001, in Docket No.
- 21 2001-379-C. Verizon South's authority was subject to the SCTC's standard stipulation as
- 22 to rural telephone company areas.

1	Q.	DID '	VERIZON	SOUTH	REQUEST	CLEC	AUTHORITY	IN	ITS	OWN	ILEC

- 2 SERVICE AREA?
- 3 A. No. Verizon South requested and was granted authority to operate as a CLEC on a
- 4 statewide basis "except for the geographic territory in which it is certified to provide
- 5 service as an incumbent local exchange carrier."

6

- 7 Q. DO ANY SCTC MEMBER COMPANIES OR THEIR AFFILIATES HAVE
- 8 AUTHORITY TO OPERATE AS CLECS IN THEIR OWN ILEC SERVICE
- 9 AREAS?
- 10 A. A few may technically have the authority to do so, but to the best of my knowledge, no
- SCTC member company obtained a certificate with the intent of providing CLEC
- services in its own affiliated ILEC service area, and no SCTC member is providing such
- services. For example, Horry Telephone Cooperative has authority to operate as a CLEC
- in Horry County and a portion of Georgetown County. This would include the
- 15 company's ILEC area, which is wholly located within Horry County. However, we do
- not provide CLEC services in our own ILEC area.

17

- 18 Q. WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS
- 19 **PROCEEDING?**
- 20 A. We ask the Commission to deny Frontier's Amended Application to the extent Frontier
- seeks to provide services as a CLEC in its own affiliated ILEC's service area. To the
- 22 extent the Commission finds it is in the public interest to grant Frontier's Amended

Application as it relates to providing CLEC services outside Frontier's affiliated ILEC area, we ask that the Commission exclude the rural telephone company service areas from Frontier's certificated area or, alternatively, impose the same conditions on Frontier that are imposed on other CLECs serving rural telephone company areas in South Carolina, *i.e.*, the conditions that are contained in the SCTC's standard CLEC Stipulation. *See*, *e.g.*, Attachment to Order No. 2011-94.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

9 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Docket No. 2010-399-C

Re: Application of Frontier Communications of America, Inc. for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide Telecommunications Service Including Basic Local Exchange Service)	OF SERVICE
--	------------

I, Rebecca W. Martin, do hereby certify that I have this date served one (1) copy of the attached Prefiled Direct Testimony of Brent D. Groome in the above-referenced matter upon the following parties causing said copy to be deposited with the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and properly affixed thereto, and addressed as follows:

Shealy Boland Reibold, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Post Office Box 11263 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Steven W. Hamm, Esquire C. Jo Anne Wessinger Hill, Esquire Richardson Plowden and Robinson, P. A. Post Office Drawer 7788 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Rebecca W. Martin, Legal Assistant

McNair Law Firm, P.A. Post Office Box 11390

Columbia, South Carolina 29211

(803) 799-9800

March 8, 2011

Columbia, South Carolina